### Announcements: Oct 4

- WebWork 1.8 & 1.9 due Wednesday
- Quiz on 1.7, 1.8, & 1.9 on Friday
- Thanks for doing Midsemester reflections more soon!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Upcoming Office Hours
  - Me: Wednesday 3-4, Skiles 234
  - Qianli: Wednesday 1-2, Clough 280
  - Arjun: Wednesday, 2:30-3:30, Skiles 230
  - Kemi: Thursday 9:30-10:30, Skiles 230
  - Martin: Friday 2-3, Skiles 230
- Midterm 2 October 20

### Learning goals

Section 2.2

- Definition and basic properties of matrix inverses
- Computing the inverse of a matrix
- Define elementary matrices and explain the relationship to row operations and inverses

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Section 2.3

• Learn the invertible matrix theorem and how to apply it.

# Section 2.2

The Inverse of a Matrix



#### Inverses

 $A = n \times n$  matrix.

A is invertible if there is a matrix B with

$$AB = BA = I_n$$

B is called the inverse of A and is written  $A^{-1}$ 

Example:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}2&1\\1&1\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&-1\\-1&2\end{array}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Inverses

Can you guess the inverse of 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
?

Find a  $2\times 2$  matrix that is not invertible.



The  $2 \times 2$  Case

Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then  $det(A) = ad - bc$  is the determinant of  $A$ .

Fact. If det(A)  $\neq 0$  then A is invertible and  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

If det(A) = 0 then A is not invertible.

Example. 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} =$$

## Solving Linear Systems via Inverses

Fact. If A is invertible, then Ax = b has exactly one solution...

Solve

$$2x + 3y + 2z = 1$$
$$x + 3z = 1$$
$$2x + 2y + 3z = 1$$

Using

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -5 & 9 \\ 3 & 2 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ のへで

### Solving Linear Systems via Inverses

What if we change b?

$$2x + 3y + 2z = 1$$
$$x + 3z = 0$$
$$2x + 2y + 3z = 1$$

Using

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{array}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} -6 & -5 & 9 \\ 3 & 2 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & -3 \end{array}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

### Some Facts

Say that A and B are invertible  $n \times n$  matrices.

- $A^{-1}$  is invertible and  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$
- AB is invertible and  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

•  $A^T$  is invertible and  $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$ 

What is  $(ABC)^{-1}$ ?

# An Algorithm for Finding $A^{-1}$

Suppose  $A = n \times n$  matrix.

- Row reduce  $(A \mid I_n)$
- If reduction has form  $(I_n | B)$  then A is invertible and  $B = A^{-1}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Otherwise, A is not invertible.

Exercise. Find 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$
  
 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow$ 

### Why Does This Work?

First answer: we can think of the algorithm as simultanenously solving

$$Ax_1 = e_1$$
$$Ax_2 = e_2$$

and so on. But the columns of  $A^{-1}$  are  $A^{-1}e_i$ , which is  $x_i$ .

There is another explanation, which uses elementary matrices.

### **Elementary matrices**

An elementary matrix, E, is one that differs by  $I_n$  by one row operation.

If E is an elementary matrix for some row operation, then EA differs from A by same row operation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Why? Check for each type.

Fact. Elementary matrices are invertible.

### **Elementary matrices**

Two matrices are row equivalent if they differ by row operations.

Observation. An  $n \times n$  matrix A is invertible exactly when it is row equivalent to  $I_n$ . In this case, the sequence of row operations taking A to  $I_n$  also takes  $I_n$  to  $A^{-1}$ . This gives us a second explanation of the algorithm.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# Section 2.3

# Characterizations of Invertible Matrices

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Say  $A = n \times n$  matrix and  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the associated linear transformation. The following are equivalent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- a) A is invertible
- b) A is row equivalent to  $I_n$
- c) A has n pivots
- d) Ax = 0 has only 0 solution
- e) columns of A are linearly independent
- f) T is one-to-one
- g) Ax = b is consistent for all b in  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- h) columns of A span  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- i) T is onto
- j)  $A^T$  is invertible

Say  $A = n \times n$  matrix and  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the associated linear transformation. The following are equivalent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- a) A is invertible
- b) A is row equivalent to  $I_n$
- c) A has n pivots
- d) Ax = 0 has only 0 solution
- e) columns of A are linearly independent
- f) T is one-to-one
- g) Ax = b is consistent for all b in  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- h) columns of A span  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- i) T is onto
- j)  $A^T$  is invertible

Why are these statement all equivalent?

There are two kinds of square matrices, invertible and non-invertible matrices.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

For invertible matrices, all of the conditions in the IMT hold. And for a non-invertible matrix, all of them fail to hold.

### Example

Determine whether A is invertible. 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 3 & 1 & -2 \\ -5 & -1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

It isn't necessary to find the inverse. Instead, we may use the Invertible Matrix Theorem by checking whether we can row reduce to obtain three pivot columns, or three pivot positions.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 3 & 1 & -2 \\ -5 & -1 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \backsim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \backsim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

There are three pivot positions, so A is invertible by the IMT (statement c).





### Identity transformation

The identity linear transformation  $T:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is the one where T(v)=v for all v.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

What is the standard matrix?

### Invertible Functions

A function  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is **invertible** if there is a function  $U: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , so

 $T \circ U =$ identity

That is,

$$T \circ U(v) = v$$
 for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Fact. Suppose  $A = n \times n$  matrix and T is the matrix transformation. Then T is invertible as a function if and only if A is invertible. And in this case, the standard matrix for  $T^{-1}$  is  $A^{-1}$ .

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Example. Counterclockwise rotation by  $\pi/4$ .

### Linear Transformations and Inverses

Poll
Which of the following linear transformations of R<sup>3</sup> have invertible standard matrices?
projection to xy-plane
rotation about z-axis by π
reflection through xy-plane

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで