#### Announcements Mar 9

- Midterm 3 on April 10
- WeBWorK on Chapter 4 due Thursday
- My office hours Monday 3-4 and Wed 2-3 in Skiles 234
- TA office hours in Skiles 230 (you can go to any of these!)
  - Isabella Thu 2-3
  - Kyle Thu 1-3
  - Kalen Mon/Wed 1-1:50
  - Sidhanth Tue 10:45-11:45
- PLUS sessions Mon/Wed 6-7 LLC West with Miguel
- Supplemental problems and practice exams on the master web site

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

# Midsemester Questionnaire

▲□▶▲□▶▲三▶▲三▶ ● ⑦�?

You: The lecture has moved at a good pace with usually enough time to write everything on the slides down in my notes. The TV screens on the side of the class are extremely helpful when sitting in the first couple rows; however facing them more towards us would help us see them better with less glare from the lighting.

You: The lecture has moved at a good pace with usually enough time to write everything on the slides down in my notes. The TV screens on the side of the class are extremely helpful when sitting in the first couple rows; however facing them more towards us would help us see them better with less glare from the lighting.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲≡▶ ▲≡▶ ▲□▶

Me: Please remind me!

You: I think more questions related to our tests/quizzes should be reviewed at lecture instead of just going over slides I could do in my room.

You: Lecture is very good, concepts are well taught, great professor. I think it would be more helpful if we could cover more examples in class.

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ● ● のへの

You: I think more questions related to our tests/quizzes should be reviewed at lecture instead of just going over slides I could do in my room.

You: Lecture is very good, concepts are well taught, great professor. I think it would be more helpful if we could cover more examples in class.

▲□▶▲□▶▲■▶▲■▶ ● ● のへの

Me: Will try to add more questions to the end of each section.

You: Lecture is good but more structured lecture slides would be great . If you post your notes that would be WONDERFUL.

You: Lecture is good but more structured lecture slides would be great . If you post your notes that would be WONDERFUL.

Me: They are posted!

You: Webwork is fine, I just wish we had unlimited attempts for all of them. I also think it would be helpful if it gave us a step by step example for each problem because sometimes I do not even know how to start the problems.

You: Webwork is fine, I just wish we had unlimited attempts for all of them. I also think it would be helpful if it gave us a step by step example for each problem because sometimes I do not even know how to start the problems.

Me: It's better this way!

You: The homework has not been helpful for me at all. Sometimes the true or false questions are helpful in understanding concepts, but the numbers are typically too large and require the use of a calculator. I would love it if the homework mirrored what the actual test and quizzes asked, instead of throwing large numbers and complex questions at us.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

You: The homework has not been helpful for me at all. Sometimes the true or false questions are helpful in understanding concepts, but the numbers are typically too large and require the use of a calculator. I would love it if the homework mirrored what the actual test and quizzes asked, instead of throwing large numbers and complex questions at us.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Me: The goal is not just to prepare for exams...

# Studio

You: The TAs are fantastic! They are super helpful in studio and I love how Isabella gives a little recap on the lectures before we start on the worksheet. I would also like if the worksheet solutions and explanations were posted on Canvas. (sorry if they already are, I have looked for them and cannot find)

# Studio

You: The TAs are fantastic! They are super helpful in studio and I love how Isabella gives a little recap on the lectures before we start on the worksheet. I would also like if the worksheet solutions and explanations were posted on Canvas. (sorry if they already are, I have looked for them and cannot find)

Me: See the master course web site!

You: I feel like I have to spend a great amount of time studying/figuring/visualizing things out on my own to be able to get it. Recently I have felt more prepared but only because I invested more time than I had wanted on figuring stuff out.

You: I feel like I have to spend a great amount of time studying/figuring/visualizing things out on my own to be able to get it. Recently I have felt more prepared but only because I invested more time than I had wanted on figuring stuff out.

Me: It might take some time!



You: I think I know how to succeed in this class, I just have so much else going on in my other classes/life that whenever I do have free time I find it difficult to want to spend that time going to office hours/tutoring/reading the textbook. That is completely on me though. I think I am going to try to come to office hours more often and get a private tutor because that is what I need to do so I can reach my goal of getting at least a B.

You: I think I know how to succeed in this class, I just have so much else going on in my other classes/life that whenever I do have free time I find it difficult to want to spend that time going to office hours/tutoring/reading the textbook. That is completely on me though. I think I am going to try to come to office hours more often and get a private tutor because that is what I need to do so I can reach my goal of getting at least a B.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ∽ へ ○

Me: I know!

You: I feel like succeeding in this class is hard if you aren't pre-exposed to it. It's so different from all math classes we've taken so far, and it's tough to teach it as well. I've tried tutoring and study groups, but it depends on who your tutor is and who you're studying with. Overall, the class is honestly really hard for most people (from what I hear) and I feel like there should be more help offered for it specifically.

You: I feel like succeeding in this class is hard if you aren't pre-exposed to it. It's so different from all math classes we've taken so far, and it's tough to teach it as well. I've tried tutoring and study groups, but it depends on who your tutor is and who you're studying with. Overall, the class is honestly really hard for most people (from what I hear) and I feel like there should be more help offered for it specifically.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 • つへ (~

Me: Yes, it is hard!

You: Prof Margalit is a king!!! He is super nice, patient, incredibly smart, and good at explaining everything. I would love if he could do more test example problems in lecture though. Thank you for being great, I am just terrible at this kind of math.

You: Prof Margalit is a king!!! He is super nice, patient, incredibly smart, and good at explaining everything. I would love if he could do more test example problems in lecture though. Thank you for being great, I am just terrible at this kind of math.

Me: You are a king!

You: keeps lectures interesting and very flexible with office hours. explaining notation a little more clearly would be very helpful because a lot of the time the only thing between me and understanding is trying to read the notation

You: keeps lectures interesting and very flexible with office hours. explaining notation a little more clearly would be very helpful because a lot of the time the only thing between me and understanding is trying to read the notation

Me: Please ask!

# Other

You: PLUS sessions save lives

# Other

You: PLUS sessions save lives

Me: Thanks Miguel!

< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < つ < ぐ</li>

# Overall

Is this an effective instructor?

You: 4.56 / 5

# Overall

Is this an effective instructor?

You: 4.56 / 5

Me: Will try harder!





#### Where are we?

- We have studied the problem Ax = b
- We next want to study  $Ax = \lambda x$
- At the end of the course we want to almost solve Ax = b

We need determinants for the second item.

# Section 4.1

The definition of the determinant

### Outline of Sections 4.1 and 4.3

- Volume and invertibility
- A definition of determinant in terms of row operations
- Using the definition of determinant to compute the determinant

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶

- Determinants of products: det(AB)
- Determinants and linear transformations and volumes

### Invertibility and volume

When is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix invertible?

When the rows (or columns) don't lie on a line  $\Leftrightarrow$  the corresponding parallelogram has non-zero area



When is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix invertible?

When the rows (or columns) don't lie on a plane  $\Leftrightarrow$  the corresponding parallelepiped (3D parallelogram) has non-zero volume



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 り へ ⊙

Same for  $n \times n!$ 

#### The definition of determinant

The determinant of a square matrix is a number so that

- 1. If we do a row replacement on a matrix, the determinant is unchanged
- 2. If we swap two rows of a matrix, the determinant scales by -1
- 3. If we scale a row of a matrix by k, the determinant scales by k

**4**.  $\det(I_n) = 1$ 

Why would we think of this? Answer: This is exactly how volume works.

< □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < Ͽ < ♡

Try it out for  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

#### The definition of determinant

The determinant of a square matrix is a number so that

- 1. If we do a row replacement on a matrix, the determinant is unchanged
- 2. If we swap two rows of a matrix, the determinant scales by -1
- 3. If we scale a row of a matrix by k, the determinant scales by k

4.  $\det(I_n) = 1$ 

#### *Problem.* Just using these rules, compute the determinants:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ∽ へ ○

#### A basic fact about determinants

Fact. If A has a zero row, then det(A) = 0.

Fact. If A is in row echelon form then det(A) is the product of the diagonal entries.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

Why do these follow from the definition?

Fact. Suppose we row reduce A. Then

 $\det A = (-1)^{\#\text{row swaps used}} \left( \frac{\text{product of diagonal entries of row reduced matrix}}{\text{product of scalings used}} \right)$ 

Use the fact to get a formula for the determinant of any  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

Consequence of the above fact:

Fact. det  $A \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$  invertible

< □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < Ͽ < ♡

Computing determinants ...using the definition in terms of row operations  $\det \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 & -4 \end{array} \right) =$  $| D| \rightarrow | O| det$   $0 \mid 0 \rightarrow | 0 \mid 0 \mid det$   $0 \mid 0 \rightarrow | 0 \mid 0 \mid 0 \mid det$   $0 \mid -9 \mid = -9$  $\begin{pmatrix} | 0 \rangle \\ 0 \rangle \\ 0 \rangle - 9 \end{pmatrix}$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ Ⅰ りへで

#### A Mathematical Conundrum

We have this definition of a determinant, and it gives us a way to compute it.

But: we don't know that such a determinant function exists.

More specifically, we haven't ruled out the possibility that two different row reductions might gives us two different answers for the determinant.

Don't worry! It is all okay.

We already gave the key idea: that determinant is just the volume of the corresponding parallelepiped. You can read the proof in the book if you want.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Fact 1. There is such a number  $\det$  and it is unique.



Fact 7. det(A) is signed volume of the parallelepiped spanned by cols of A.

If you want the proofs, see the book. Actually Fact 1 is the hardest!

#### Powers

Fact 5. det(AB) = det(A) det(B)

Use this fact to compute



#### Powers

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\begin{pmatrix} 5 * * \\ 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{det A \neq 0}$ 

DQC

# Section 4.3

The determinant and volumes

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Areas of triangles

What is the area of the triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with vertices (1,2), (4,3), and (2,5)?

(5,6)

 $\begin{array}{c} (2,5) \\ (\frac{1}{3}) \\ (\frac$ 

What is the area of the parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with vertices (1,2), (4,3), (2,5), and (5,6)?

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Determinants and linear transformations

Say A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and T(v) = Av.

Fact 8. If S is some subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\operatorname{vol}(T(S)) = |\det(A)| \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S)$ .

This works even if S is curvy, like a circle or an ellipse, or:

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

H

(ロ)

Why? First check it for little squares/cubes (Fact 7). Then: Calculus!

#### Summary of Sections 4.1 and 4.3

Say det is a function det : {matrices}  $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with:

$$1. \det(I_n) = 1$$

- 2. If we do a row replacement on a matrix, the determinant is unchanged
- 3. If we swap two rows of a matrix, the determinant scales by -1
- 4. If we scale a row of a matrix by k, the determinant scales by k

Fact 1. There is such a function det and it is unique.

Fact 2. A is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$  important!

Fact 3. det  $A = (-1)^{\text{\#row swaps used}} \left( \frac{\text{product of diagonal entries of row reduced matrix}}{\text{product of scalings used}} \right)$ 

Fact 4. The function can be computed by any of the 2n cofactor expansions.

Fact 5. det(AB) = det(A) det(B) important!

Fact 6.  $det(A^T) = det(A)$ 

Fact 7. det(A) is signed volume of the parallelepiped spanned by cols of A. Fact 8. If S is some subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $vol(T(S)) = |det(A)| \cdot vol(S)$ .

# Section 4.2

Cofactor expansions

・ロト・< 三ト</li>・< 三ト</li>・< つへ()</li>

### Outline of Section 4.2

• We will give a recursive formula for the determinant of a square matrix.

We will give a recursive formula.

First some terminology:

 $A_{ij} = ij$ th minor of A $A_{ij} = (n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by deleting the *i*th row and *j*th column

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$$
  
= *ij*th cofactor of A

Finally:

$$\det(A) = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + \dots + a_{1n}C_{1n}$$

Or:

$$\det(A) = a_{11}(\det(A_{11})) - a_{12}(\det(A_{12})) + \dots \pm a_{1n}(\det(A_{1n}))$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

For the recursive formula:

 $\det(A) = a_{11}(\det(A_{11})) - a_{12}(\det(A_{12})) + \dots \pm a_{1n}(\det(A_{1n}))$ 

Need to start somewhere...

 $1 \times 1$  matrices

 $\det(a_{11}) = a_{11}$ 

 $2\times 2~\mathrm{matrices}$ 

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12}$$
$$= a_{11}\det(A_{11}) + a_{12}(-\det(A_{12}))$$
$$= a_{11}(a_{22}) + a_{12}(-a_{21})$$

・ロト<</li>・・・</

 $3 \times 3$  matrices

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \cdots$$

You can write this out. And it is a good exercise. But you won't want to memorize it.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ④♀♡

### Determinants

Compute

$$det\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 5 \cdot det\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - |det\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot (don^{2} + 1) + 0 \cdot$$

+ (). (

)

Another formula for  $3 \times 3$  matrices

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}$$

 $-a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶

Use this formula to compute

$$\det \left( \begin{array}{rrrr} 5 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

#### Expanding across other rows and columns

The formula we gave for det(A) is the expansion across the first row. It turns out you can compute the determinant by expanding across any row or column:

$$det(A) = a_{i1}C_{i1} + \dots + a_{in}C_{in} \text{ for any fixed } i$$
$$det(A) = a_{1j}C_{1j} + \dots + a_{nj}C_{nj} \text{ for any fixed } j$$

Or:

$$\det(A) = a_{i1}(\det(A_{i1})) - a_{i2}(\det(A_{i2})) + \dots \pm a_{in}(\det(A_{in}))$$
$$\det(A) = a_{1j}(\det(A_{1j})) - a_{2j}(\det(A_{2j})) + \dots \pm a_{nj}(\det(A_{nj}))$$

Compute:

(ロ)

#### Determinants of triangular matrices

If A is upper (or lower) triangular, det(A) is easy to compute:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix} + - + \\ 2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 9 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \\ + - + \end{pmatrix} \\ 2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 9 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 10$ 

・ロト・日本・モート・モート のので

#### Determinants

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ● ● ● ●

### A formula for the inverse

(from Section 3.3)

 $2\times 2~\mathrm{matrices}$ 

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

 $n \times n$  matrices

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & \cdots & C_{n1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\det(A)} (C_{ij})^T$$

Check that these agree!

The proof uses Cramer's rule (see the notes on the course home page. We're not testing on this - it's just for your information.)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ♥ ④ ♥ ♥

### Summary of Section 4.2

• There is a recursive formula for the determinant of a square matrix:

 $\det(A) = a_{11}(\det(A_{11})) - a_{12}(\det(A_{12})) + \dots \pm a_{1n}(\det(A_{1n}))$ 

- We can use the same formula along any row/column.
- There are special formulas for the  $2 \times 2$  and  $3 \times 3$  cases.