# THE SHEAF OF REGULAR FUNCTIONS

Want an analogue of  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in diff. top or  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in complex analysis. We defined morphisms on aff. alg. var's. Want a version for open subsets. A map should be a morphism if it is a morphism on a nbd of each pt.

Def. X = aff. alg. Var.  $U \subseteq X \ open.$ A regular fn on U is a map  $g: U \rightarrow k$ with:  $\forall \ a \in U \ \exists \ poly. \ fns \ f,g \ with$   $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) = \frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$ 

Y x in an open subset Ua with  $a \in Ua \subseteq U$ The set of all such regular fins is denoted  $O_X(U)$ .

Note. Ox(U) is a k-algebra

Example.  $X = Z(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3) \subseteq A^4$ .  $U = X \setminus Z(x_2, x_4)$   $Q : U \longrightarrow k$   $(x_1, ..., x_4) \longmapsto \begin{cases} x_1x_2 & x_2 \neq 0 \\ x_3x_4 & x_4 \neq 0 \end{cases}$ This Q is a reg. for on U. Indeed it is well-def since  $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = 0$  $\Rightarrow x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$  when  $x_2, x_4 \neq 0$ .

Also, it is locally a rational fn.

Note: neither formula works at all points, e.g.

first formula fails at (0,0,0,1).

In fact there is no global way to write q.

Fact. X = aff. alg. Var. U = X open.  $Q \in C_X(U)$ . Then  $Z(Q) = \{x \in U : Q(x) = 0\}$  is closed in U. Pf. By defin, any pt  $a \in U$  has a nbd Ua = U where  $Q = Q^a / fa$  ( $fa \neq 0$  on Ua). So  $Za = \{x \in Ua : Q(x) \neq 0\} = Ua \setminus Z(Qa)$  is open in  $X \Rightarrow UZa$  open in X. But  $UZa = U \setminus Z(Q)$ .

As a Consequence we have...

Fact (identity thm for regular fins). X = irred aff alg. var.  $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V$  open. If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  agree on U then they agree on V.

 $\overline{PF}$ . The set  $\overline{Z}(q_1-q_2)$  contains U and is closed by the previous fact. Hence it contains  $\overline{U}$  = closure of U in V.

But  $\overline{V} = X$  (open subsets of irred spaces are dense). Thus V is irred (in a top. space A irred).  $\Rightarrow \overline{U} = V$ 

The fact should not be surprising since open sets in the Zariski top. are big (dense in Euc. top.). So for k=C the fact is obvious.

The interesting thing is the analogy with the identity theorem in complex analysis: if two holom. Ins agree on an open set, they are equal.

Next goal: compute  $O_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u})$  explicitly in some cases.

Def.  $X = affine alg. var. \subseteq A^n$   $f \in k[X] \leftarrow usually think of as set of fins on X, not as quotient$   $D(f) = X \setminus Z(f)$  is called the distinguished open subset of f in X. Fact (1)  $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$  $\rightarrow$  finite intersections of D(f)'s are D(f)'s.

> ② Any open subset of X is a finite union of D(f)'s:  $U = X \setminus Z(f_1, ..., f_k) = D(f_1) \cup ... \cup D(f_k)$ .

So D(f)'s are the "Smallest" open subsets. (they form a basis for the Zariski top.)

Prop.  $X = \text{affine alg. var.}, f \in k[X]. Then$   $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f)) = \left\{ \frac{g}{f} : g \in k[X], n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$ In partic, setting f = 1,  $\mathcal{O}_{X}(X) = k[X].$ 

If. 2 Obvious. Each 9/f" is regular on D(f).

E Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_X(D(f))$ . By defn:  $\forall a \in D(f)$   $\varphi = \frac{g_a}{f_a}$  on nbd of a

After possibly shrinking the nbd's, can assume they are all of the form D(ha) (above fact).

Claim: We can assume ha=fa.

and that ga vanishes on Z(ha)

For Claim: Can rewrite of locally as 9ahalfaha,
Note faha and gaha vanish on each Z(ha)
Also, faha does not vanish on D(ha).
But ha also vanishes exactly on Z(ha)
So faha & ha have same Zero set. Replace ha w/ faha.

From now on we make the assumption from the claim.

Claim: gafb = gbfa Va, b & D(f).

Pf of Claim: These fins agree on  $D(f_a) \cap D(f_b)$ since  $q = \frac{g_a}{f_a} = \frac{g_b}{f_b}$  there. And they are zero otherwise (need to use  $2^{nel}$  statement of previous claim).

The  $D(f_a)$  cover D(f). Pass to the complement:  $Z(f) = \bigcap_{a \in D(f)} Z(f_a) = Z(\{f_a : a \in D(f)\})$ 

So:  $I(Z(f)) = I(Z(fa)) = \sqrt{f_a: a \in D(f)}$ 

 $f \in I(Z(f)) \Longrightarrow f \in V\{f_a : a \in D(f)\}$ 

$$\Rightarrow f^n = \sum_{\alpha} k_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$$
 Some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_{\alpha} \in k[X]$  for finitely many  $\alpha \in D(f)$ .

Let  $g = \sum kaga$ .

To finish the proof, will show  $q = 9/f^n$  on D(f):

 $\forall b \in D(f) \text{ have } c_f = 9b/f_b \text{ and}$   $gf_b = \sum_a k_a g_a f_b = \sum_a k_a g_b f_a = g_b f^n$ on  $D(f_b)$ .

Thus  $\varphi = 9bfb = 9/f^n$  (both denoms nonzero). The open subsets cover D(f), so done.  $\square$ 

Note. Really need k alg. closed.

For example 1/x2+1 is regular on Aprobut not in IR[x].

There is an algebraic interpretation of what we just did...

## LOCALIZATIONS

$$R = ring$$
.  
 $S = mult.$  closed subset (so 1  $\epsilon$  S).  
The localization of  $R$  at  $S$  is

S-IR = 
$$\{f \mid g: f \in \mathbb{R}, g \in S\}/\sim$$
 not needed in an integral dom. where  $f \mid g \sim f' \mid g'$  if  $\exists h \in S \text{ s.t.}$  h $(fg' - f'g) = 0$ .

Later: germs of fins => localizations

When  $S = \{ f^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  write  $\mathbb{R}_f$  for  $S^{-1}\mathbb{R}$ .

Lemma. 
$$X = aff$$
. alg. var.  
 $f \in k[X]$   
Then  $\mathcal{O}_{x}(D(f)) \cong k[X]_{f}$  (as k-alg's).

If. There is a k-alg. map 
$$k[X]_f \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D(f))$$

Check this is well defined: if  $91f^n \sim 9'/f^m$  then  $f^k(gf^m - g'f^n) = 0$  in k[X] Some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\implies gf^m = g'f^n \implies 9/f^n = 9'/f^m$  as  $f_n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Surjectivity: The last Prop.

Injectivity: If 
$$9/f^n \equiv 0$$
 as a fin on  $D(f)$   
then  $g \equiv 0$  on  $D(f)$ .  
 $\Rightarrow fg \equiv 0$  on  $X$   
 $\Rightarrow f(g.1-0.f^n) = 0$  in  $k[X]$   
 $\Rightarrow 9/f^n \sim 0/1$ 

Example: reg. fins on A210.

Let 
$$X = A^2$$
,  $U = A^2 \setminus O$ .  
Will show:  $O_X(U) = k[x_1, x_2]$ .  
i.e.  $O_X(U) = O_X(X)$ .

This is an analog of the removable singularity thm in complex analysis: a holom. In on Clo can be extended.

Let q & Ox(U).

Prop  $\Rightarrow$  on the open sets  $D(x_1) = (A' \setminus 0) \times A'$ and  $D(X_2) = A' \times (A' \setminus 0)$  can write  $\varphi$  as  $f/x_1^m$  and  $g/x_2^n$  with  $f,g \in k[x_1,x_2]$ . WLOG  $x_1 \nmid f$ ,  $x_2 \nmid g$ .

On  $D(x_1) \cap D(x_2)$  both representations are valid.  $\Rightarrow fx_2^n = gx_1^m$ .

But Z(fx2n-gx,m) is closed

 $\Rightarrow f_{X_2}^n = g_{X_1}^m \text{ on } \overline{D(x_1) \cap D(x_2)} = A^2$   $\Rightarrow f_{X_2}^n = g_{X_1}^m \text{ in } k[A^2] = k[x_1, x_2].$ 

If m>0 then xilf, contradiction.

#### SHEAVES

A presheaf of rings F on a topological space X conists of data:

- · Y open U⊆X a ring F(U) ← the ring of fins
- $\forall$  opens  $U \subseteq V \subseteq X$  a ring hom  $f_{V,u} \colon F(V) \to F(U)$

called the restriction map.

Such that

- $\mathcal{F}(\phi) = 0$
- · fu,u = id Y U.
- · pv,u · pw,v = pw,u \ U = V = W.

The elts of F(U) are called sections of F over U. The  $gv_{\mu}u$  are written as  $g\mapsto glu$ .

The presheaf F is called a sheaf of rings if it satisfies the following gluing property: if  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $\{U_i\}_{I}$  is an open cover of U and  $q_i \in F(U_i)$  sections  $\forall i$  s.t.  $q_i | u_i n u_j = q_i | u$ 

Examples. (1) X = irred aff. alg. Var.

The Ox(U), plus usual restriction, form
a sheaf. The presheaf axioms are clear.

The gluing property means  $\varphi: U \rightarrow k$ is regular if it is regular on each set
of an open cover. But a fn is reg. iff
it is locally rational.

This Ox is the sheaf of regular fins on X

- 2 X=R<sup>n</sup>, F(U) = { \q: U → R continuous} Similar for differentiable fins, analytic fins, arbitrary fins...
- 3)  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $F(U) = \{ \text{constant fns} \}$ This is a presheaf, but not a sheaf: Let  $U_1, U_2$  nonempty disjoint open sets,  $q_i \in U_i$  const. fns with different values... "being constant is not a local condition" Can fix by taking locally const. fns.

Aside. A smooth manifold is a sheaf of IR-algebras
On on a (second countable, Hawderff) topological
space M that is locally isomorphic to the
sheaf of smooth fins on IR".

Germs. Let F be a presheaf on a top. Sp. X.

Fix  $a \in X$  and consider pairs  $(U, \varphi)$  where U is an open nood of a &  $\varphi \in F(U)$ .

Say  $(U, \varphi) \sim (U', \varphi')$  if there is an open V with  $a \in V \subseteq U \cap U'$  and  $\varphi |_{V} = \varphi' |_{V}$ .

The set of all equiv. classes is the stalk  $F_a$  of F at a. It inherits a ring structure from the rings F(U). The elts of  $F_a$  are called the germs of F at a.

Germs are also referred to as local fins. For smooth fins, can calculate all derivatives from the germ.

If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are regular fins on open subset U of affalgivar X and they represent the same germ at as U then they agree on all of U (identity thm).

Next: germs -> localizations.

Lemma. 
$$X = aff. alg. var.$$
,  $a \in X$ 

$$S = \{f \in k[X] : f(a) \neq o\}$$
The stalk  $O_{X,a}$  of  $O_{X}$  is  $k$ -alg isomorphic to 
$$S^{-1} k[X] = \{9/f : f, g \in k[X], f(a) \neq o\}$$

This is called the local ring of X at a.

Pf. Note S is mult. closed, so the lemma makes sense. Have a k-alg hom:

$$S^{-1}k[X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,a}$$
 equiv. class  $g_{f} \longmapsto (D(f), g_{f})$   
Check well def, inj, surj.

Lemma/Defn X = aff. alg. var.,  $a \in X$ Every proper ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}$  is contained in the ideal  $T_a = T(a)\mathcal{O}_{X,a} = \{g_f \mid f,g \in k[X], g(a) = 0, f(a) \neq 0\}$ called the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}$ .

Pf. Ia is clearly an ideal VSay  $I \subseteq O_{X,a}$  an ideal not contained in  $I_a$ .  $\longrightarrow J$   $^{9}I_f \in I$  with  $f(a), g(a) \neq 0$ .  $\Longrightarrow f(g \in O_{X,a})$  $\Longrightarrow 1 \in O_{X,a} \Longrightarrow I = O_{X,a}$ 

#### MORPHISMS

A ringed space is a top space X with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . We call  $\mathcal{O}_X$  the Structure sheaf.

An affine variety is a ringed space with its sheaf of regular firs.

An open subset of a ringed space is a ringed space (retrict).

Want to say  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism if it pulls elts of  $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(Y)$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ . But elts of  $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$  are not necessarily fins. So:

From now on sheaves are sheaves of k-valued fins

Defn. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a map of ringed spaces. Then f is a morphism if it is continuous and if  $\forall$  open  $U \subseteq Y$  and  $\varphi \in C_Y(U)$  we have  $f^*\varphi \in C_X(f^{-1}(U))$ .

So, for a morphism gives k-alg homon's  $f^*: \mathcal{O}_{Y}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(f^{-1}(U)).$ 

Notes. · Morphisms & isomorphisms of (open subsets of) affine alg. var's are morphism & isomorphisms of ringed spaces.

· Continuity is used so f'(U) open.

· Compositions of morphisms are morphisms.

· Restrictions of morphisms are morphisms: it U=X open & f(U)=V open in Y then flu is a morphism.

Morphisms have a gluing property:

Lemma X, Y ringed spaces, f: X -> Y {Ui} open cover of X s.t. each flui is a morphism. Then f is a morphism.

H. Continuity: works since continuity is local. Pullbacks: Let V = Y open, G & Ov(Y) Then (f\*q) \uinf-'(v) = (fluinf-'(v))\*q lies in  $O_{\times}(U_i \cap f^{-1}(V))$  since flui a morphism  $\Longrightarrow$ fluinf-1(v) is a morphism.

Gluing property for sheaves  $\rightarrow F^* \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(f^{-1}(V))$ .

Prop. U = open subset of aff. alg. var X  $Y = \text{aff. alg. var.} \subseteq A^n$ The morphisms  $f : U \to Y$  are exactly the maps of the form  $f = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$ . with  $\varphi_i : \Theta_X(U)$ 

In particular, the morphisms  $U \rightarrow A'$  are exactly the elts of  $O_X(U)$ .

Pf. Assume  $f: U \rightarrow Y$  a morphism

The coords fins  $y_1,..., y_n: Y \rightarrow k$  are regular

So  $q_i = f^*y_i$  lies in  $O_X(f^{-1}(Y)) = O_X(U)$ Thus f is of the given form.

Now say f= (cp1, ..., qn) as above.

Claim. f is continuous.

Pf of claim. Say  $Z \subseteq Y$  closed  $\longrightarrow Z = Z(g_1,...,g_m)$  with  $g_i \in k[Y]$ . And  $f^{-1}(Z) = \{x \in U : g_i(\varphi_i(x),...,\varphi_n(x)) = 0\}$ But the fins  $g_i(\varphi_i(x),...,\varphi_n(x))$  are regular on U (compositions of rational fins w) poly's are rational)  $\Longrightarrow f^{-1}(Z)$  closed.

```
Claim, f* takes regular fins to rea fins
                                                    see note
                                                    at very
     Pf of claim. Say q & Ox (W) regular. Then
                                                     bottom
        f_*\phi = \phi \circ f : f_{-1}(M) \longrightarrow K
       is regular for the same reason.
        Seems subtle! Take f = (x+1, x+2), Q = 41/42, -26 U
   Cor. X, Y affine alg. var's
         Pf. | already done
       Say Y = An, Yi,..., yn the coord fins
              Then cpi = g(yi) lies in k[X] = Ox(X) Vi.
               Set f = (\varphi_1, -, \varphi_n) : X \to A^n
              Then f(X) \subset Y = Z(I(Y)) subtle!
              Prop > f a morphism.
               By construction f^* = 9
Example. A bijective morphism that's not an isomorphism.
          X = \mathcal{F}(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^3)
```

 $X = \mathbb{Z}(x_1^2 - x_2^3)$   $f: A' \to X \qquad t \mapsto (t^3, t^2)$   $f^* : k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 - x_2^3) \to k[t] = k[A']$   $\overline{x}_1 \longmapsto t^3, \quad \overline{x}_2 \longmapsto t^2$ Not an  $\cong$  since t not in image.

# MORPHISMS AND PRODUCTS

$$X = Z(I) \subseteq A^n$$
,  $Y = Z(J) \subseteq A^m$  aff. alg. var's  $X \times Y = Z(I,J) \subseteq A^n \times A^m$ 

Note  $X \times Y$  does not have product topology. For instance  $\Delta = \{(x,x)\}$  is closed in  $A' \times A'$  but not in the product topology (exercise)

Prop (Univ. prop. for products). X,Y = aff. alg. var's.  $T_X,T_Y$  proj's of  $X \times Y$  to factors.

Then for any aff alg. Var Z and morphisms  $f_X:Z \to X$ ,  $f_Y:Z \to Y$  there is a unique morphism  $f:Z \to X \times Y$  s.t.  $f_X = T_X \circ f$ ,  $f_Y = T_Y \circ f_Y$ 

So: giving a morphism to X x Y is same as giving a morphism to each factor.

Pf. Uniqueness obvious: only one choice for f.

This is a morphism by the last Prop, which characterizes morphisms.

The univ. prop. for X×Y corresponds to univ prop. for tensor prod. of coord rings  $\Longrightarrow k[X\times Y] \cong k[X] \otimes k[Y]$ 

### Affine VARIETIES

The construction of a variety from a presentation of a k-alg can give different aff. alg. var's, depending on the presentation. The above Cor implies the var's are isomorphic. So...

From now on, an affine variety is a ringed space isomorphic to an aff. alg. var, that is, a Z(I).

Prop.  $X = aff. \ var, \ f \in k[X]. \ to be an aff. alg var Z(I).$   $\Rightarrow D(f) \ is \ an affine variety with \\ k[D(f)] = k[X]_f \leftarrow localization.$ Pf. Have  $Y = \{(x,t) \in X \times A' : tf(x) = 1\} \subseteq X \times A'$ is an aff. alg. var, since Y = Z(tf(x) - 1).

This Y is isomorphic to D(f) via  $f: Y \rightarrow X$   $f': X \rightarrow Y$   $(x,t) \mapsto X. \qquad x \mapsto (x, f(x))$   $\Rightarrow X \cong Y. \ We \ already \ should \ \mathcal{O}_X(D(f)) \cong k[X]_f$ and  $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = k[X]$ .

Example.  $\mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$  is not an aff. var. Let  $X = \mathbb{A}^2$  and  $U = \mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$ . Give U the sheaf structure  $\mathcal{O}_U(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ If  $\mathcal{O}_U(U)$  were an aff. var we would have  $\mathcal{O}_U(U) \cong k[U]$ .

But we already showed for this X, U that  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(X) \cong k[x,y] \cong k[A^2]$  (remov. sing. thm) Inclusion  $U \hookrightarrow X$  incluses  $id : k[x,y] \longrightarrow k[x,y]$  In our proof of the correspondence b/w k-alg homoms & variety homoms, the id map  $k[x,y] \circlearrowleft$  must be induced by inclusion  $(c_i = g(y_i) = y_i)$ . This is a contradiction, since  $U \hookrightarrow X$  is not surjective.

We can cover U by D(x1) & D(x2), which are affine. So maybe we should allow ringed spaces that are covered by aff. vars...

About that claim further up: perhaps we should thing of a regular for as a rational for that is well defined (instead of a rational for where the denominator does not vanish). Then the claim is easy: the composition of two rational fors is rational, and the composition of two well-defined fors is well def. In particular, the composition of two regular fors is regular.