Last time () Morphisms $\chi \longrightarrow \Upsilon$ polynomial map (2) Coordinate nings K[X] : poly fors on X = { f | x : fe k, [x1,..., xn] } = K[x1,..., Xn]/#(X) Wanted to show: $A' \longrightarrow Z(y^2 - \chi^3)$ not \cong .

Next: A morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ $gives hom. k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ Pullbacks. $X \subseteq |A^n, Y \subseteq |A^m$ oov; f: X - Y morphism. $\longrightarrow f_* \cdot k[x] \rightarrow k[x]$ $q + \mathbb{I}(X) \mapsto g \cdot f + \mathbb{I}(X)$ or $[g] \mapsto [g.f]$ Basic facts () fx is k-alg honom. $(f_9)_* = g_*f_*$ $(3)fan \cong \implies f_*an \cong$

Basic facts (1)
$$f_*$$
 is k-adg homom.
(2) $(fg)_* = g_* f_*$
(3) $f_{an} \cong \Longrightarrow f_* an \cong$
contravariant
[In other words, have A functor
aav's \longrightarrow k-algebras
 $X \longmapsto$ k[X]
What is the image?

$$\begin{array}{l} \overbrace{\mathsf{Examples}} \\ \hline{\mathbb{O}} \quad |A^{'} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} Z(4-x^{2}) \subseteq |A^{2} \\ \quad t \mapsto (t,t^{2}) \end{array} \\ \hline{\mathbb{Pullback}:} \\ f: \quad \underbrace{\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{X},\mathsf{M}]}_{(q-\mathsf{X}^{2})} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{t}]} \\ g(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{M}) = \mathsf{X} \quad \longmapsto \quad t \\ g(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{M}) = \mathsf{X} \quad \longmapsto \quad t^{2} \\ \hline{\mathbb{T}his enough since } \mathsf{X},\mathsf{M} \text{ genuade.} \\ \\ \operatorname{Surjective} \\ injective \\ \\ \operatorname{So} \cong \end{array}$$

 $() f: A' \to Z(y^2 - x^3) \subseteq A^2$ Pullback : $\mathbb{C}[\chi_{y^{2}}]_{(Y^{2}-\chi^{3})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t]$ $\chi \longrightarrow t^2$ $\gamma \mapsto t^3$ Not surj : t not in image so f is not an \cong .

Would be better: $t \mapsto (t^2, t^3) \not\models \mathbb{C}[x_1, y_1]/(y^2 - x^3) \not\models \mathbb{C}[t]$ Joshua's idea: compare transcendance degree over C.[X]. (Can a transe. ext. of ([x] be = to ([x]?) More refined : LHS free module over C[t] of rank 2 and RNS rank 1

(3) $\chi = Z(xy-1)$ Will show X # A¹ k[A'] = k[x]KEX7 = KEXJEX1J = KEx, x-1] = Lavrent polys Want KEXJ # KEX,X-1] Suppose $\overline{\Phi}: k[x, x^{-1}] \longrightarrow k[x]$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\Phi}(1) = 1$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\Phi}(x) \overline{\Phi}(x^{-1}) = 1$ $\Rightarrow \underline{\Psi}(x), \underline{\Psi}(x^{-1})$ units ⇒ Im I ⊆ {constant polys} V71X Next: Which alg's anise?

Defn. An alg is reduced if no nilpotent elts, i.e. no elts r=0 with rk=0.

Thm (1) Eveny k[X] is a fin gen. reduced K-alg. (16) Every fin gen red. K-alg is a K[X] (2a) f: X→Y morphism $\Rightarrow t^* : k[\lambda] \rightarrow k[\chi]$ K-alg homom.

(2) Every k-alg homom R-S of red fin gen k-alg is some fix & f unique up to =. So. The two categories are Same (contravaniant isomorphism): aav's \iff f.g. red in A K-algs. overall n Note. In 1950's Grathendieck removed 3 hypotheses: fin gen, red, alg closed The corresp. geon objects are affire schemes

(1)
$$R = fg red k-alg$$

Choose a "presentation"
 $R \cong k[Y_1, ..., Ym] / J$
(Yi generators
J relations.
 $J = kar k[Y_1, ..., Ym] \rightarrow R$)
 $R reduced \implies J radical$.
Let $Y = Z(J) \subseteq A^m$
 $SN \implies k[Y] \cong R$.

(26)

_

New varieties from old 1) Products Prop. The product of aav's is an aav. 2 Complements of aav's $V \subseteq A^n$ and fe k[V] $\bigvee \Lambda^t = \Lambda / \Sigma(t)$ $= \{p \in V : f(p) \neq 0\}$

Examples · GLnk · Polyn = { polys of deg n with distinct roots } Prop. Any VI is isomorphic to an affine Variety with coord ring $k[\Lambda^t] \stackrel{\sim}{=} k[\Lambda][t_-,] \stackrel{=}{=} k[\Lambda]^t$ "localization" rat'l functions poly fk

Prop. Any VI is isomorphic to an affine Variety with coord ring $k[\Lambda^t] \stackrel{\sim}{=} k[\Lambda][t_-,] \stackrel{=}{=} k[\Lambda]^L$ IF. Trick of Rabinowitz! Let $\int = \mathbb{I}(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ FekExin Xn] Fe[F] Set JF = (J, tF-1) 5 k[x,,...,xn,t] We'll show $V_{f} \cong W := Z(J_{f}) \subseteq A_{n+1}$

 $W \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} V_{f}$

 $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y) \longmapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ $(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n, F(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)) \leftarrow (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$

Check inverses, check second statement

